#### Project 1 – Meeting the Master

- Set up for the design/code review
  - You should contact your Master as soon as you get the enabling email
  - Review Group adjustments must be done by tonight
- Prepare for the review!
  - "Opening statement"
- Look for insights to help with the final
  - See if you can come close to the best performance
  - Make sure you understand the sharing policy
- The MITPOSSE is there to help you! They are volunteers.
   Accord them your greatest respect.

### Performance Engineering with Profiling Tools

Reid Kleckner John Dong

#### Agenda

- Theory/Background: Profiling Tools
- 2 Interactive Walkthroughs:
  - Matrix Multiply
    - Simple cache ratio measurements using the profiler
  - Branchless Sorting
    - Optimizing instruction-level parallelism / pipelining
    - Real example of how the 6.172 staff used the profiler

#### Theory

- "Premature optimization is the root of all evil" - Knuth
- Should focus on optimizing hotspots
- Project 1: Worked with small programs with easy-to-spot hotspots
- Real world codebases much bigger: Reading all the code is a waste of time (for optimizing)
- Profiling: Identifies where your code is slow

#### What is the bottleneck?

- Could be:
  - CPU
  - Memory
  - Network
  - Disk
  - SQL DB
  - User Input (probably not this class)
- Solution depends heavily on the problem
- Today: Focus on CPU and Memory

#### **Profiling Tools**

In order to do	You can use		
Manual Instrumentation	<pre>printf, (or fancy variants thereof)</pre>		
Static Instrumentation	gprof		
Dynamic Instrumentation	callgrind, cachegrind, DTrace		
Performance Counters	oprofile, perf		
Heap Profiling	massif, google- perftools		

Other tools exist for Network, Disk IO, Software-specific, ...

TODAY: perf

### **Event Sampling**

- Basic Idea:
  - Keep a list of where "interesting events" (cycle, branch miss, etc) happen
- Actual Implementation:
  - Keep a counter for each event
  - When a counter reaches threshold, fire interrupt
  - Interrupt handler: Record execution context
- A tool (perf) turns data into useful reports

#### Intel Performance Counters

- CPU Feature: Counters for hundreds of events
  - Performance: Cache misses, branch misses, instructions per cycle, ...
  - CPU sleep states, power consumption, etc (not interesting for this class)
- Today & Project 2.1: We'll cover the most useful CPU counters for this class
- Intel® 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software
   Developer's Manual: Appendix A lists all counters
  - http://www.intel.com/products/processor/manuals/index.htm

### Linux: Performance Counter Subsystem

- New event sampling tool (2.6.31 and above)
  - Older tools: oprofile, perfmon
- Can monitor software and hardware events
  - Show all predefined events: perf list
  - Define your own performance counters...

On your machine: perfin linux-tools

#### Demo 1: Matrix Multiply

```
intmatrix_multiply_run(const matrix* A, const matrix* B, matrix* C)
{
  inti, j, k;
  for (i = 0; i < A->rows; i++) {
    for (j = 0; j < B->cols; j++) {
        for (k = 0; k < A->cols; k++) {
            C->values[i][j] +=
            A->values[i][k] * B->values[k][j];
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf stat -e cycles -e instructions -e L1-dcache-loads -e L1-
dcache-load-misses ./matrix_multiply
Setup
Running matrix_multiply_run()...
Elapsed execution time: 8.312905 sec
Performance counter stats for './matrix_multiply':
   22229922882 cycles
                                                0.000 M/sec
   11040488591 instructions
                                                0.497 IPC
     7012548051 L1-dcache-loads
                                                0.000 M/sec
    1313164727 L1-dcache-load-misses
                                                0.000 M/sec
   8.341564469
                seconds time elapsed
```

Divide these two to get L1 miss rate

## Demo #1: Matrix Multiply (Inner Loop Exchange)

```
intmatrix_multiply_run(const matrix* A, const
matrix* B, matrix* C)

{
  inti, j, k;
  for (i = 0; i < A->rows; i++) {
    for (j = 0; j < B->cols; j++) {
        C->values[i][j] +=
        A->values[i][k] *
        B->values[k][j];
        }
    }
  }
}
```

```
intmatrix_multiply_run(const matrix* A, const matrix* B,
matrix* C)

{
  inti, j, k;
  for (i = 0; i < A->rows; i++) {
    for (k = 0; k < A->cols; k++) {
        for (j = 0; j < B->cols; j++) {
            C->values[i][j] +=
            A->values[i][k] *
        B->values[k][j];
        }
     }
}
```

```
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf stat -e cycles -e instructions -e L1-dcache-loads -e L1-
dcache-load-misses ./matrix_multiply
Setup
Running matrix multiply run()...
Elapsed execution time: 8.312905 sec
 Performance counter stats for './matrix_multiply':
   22229922882 cycles
                                               0.000 M/sec
   11040488591 instructions
                                        #
                                              0.497 IPC
    7012548051 L1-dcache-loads
                                             0.000 M/sec
    1313164727 L1-dcache-load-misses #
                                              0.000 M/sec
   8.341564469 seconds time elapsed
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf stat -e cycles -e instructions -e L1-dcache-loads -e L1-
dcache-load-misses ./matrix_multiply_xchg
Setup
Running matrix_multiply_run()...
Elapsed execution time: 2.577180 sec
 Performance counter stats for './matrix_multiply_xchg':
    6904246362 cycles
                                               0.000 M/sec
    10037693657 instructions
                                        # 1.454 IPC
    6012235277 L1-dcache-loads
                                        # 0.000 M/sec
      63685905 L1-dcache-load-misses #
                                              0.000 M/sec
   2.590953283 seconds time elapsed
methacholine:/scratch/profiling#
```

### Case Study: Sorting & Branching (What the 6.172 Staff Did Yesterday)

- Demo:
  - Using QuickSort to sort 30 million integers

```
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf stat -e branches -e branch-misses -e cycles -e instructi
ons ./quicksort 30000000 1
Took 4.154539 seconds

Performance counter stats for './quicksort 30000000 1':

3303130074 branches # 0.000 M/sec
380865021 branch-misses # 0.000 M/sec
12254638483 cycles # 0.000 M/sec
10026446894 instructions # 0.818 IPC

4.599167066 seconds time elapsed

methacholine:/scratch/profiling#
```

### Case Study: Sorting & Branching

Quicksort: pivoting = unpredictable branches:

```
while (left < right) {
while (left < right && *left <= pivot) left++;
while (left < right && *right > pivot) right--;
if (left < right) swap(left, right);
}</pre>
```

### Case Study: Sorting & Branching

• Let's try mergesort!

```
staticvoidbranch_merge(long *C, long *A, long *B, ssize_tna, ssize_tnb)
{
while (na>0&&nb>0) {
    // We want: *C = min(*A, *B); then increment *A or *B accordingly
    if (*A <= *B) {
        *C++ = *A++; na--;
    } else {
        *C++ = *B++; nb--;
    }
}
while (na>0) {
    *C++ = *A++;
na--;
}
while (nb>0) {
    *C++ = *B++;
nb--;
}
```

### Demo: Profile Mergesort

```
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf stat -e branches -e branch-misses -e cycles -e instructi
ons ./mergesort 30000000 1
Took 5.050639 seconds

Performance counter stats for './mergesort 30000000 1':

3725802609 branches # 0.000 M/sec
384535744 branch-misses # 0.000 M/sec
14672554861 cycles # 0.000 M/sec
16203804829 instructions # 1.104 IPC

5.506452001 seconds time elapsed
```

### Case Study: Sorting & Branching

- Our mergesort is slower than quicksort!
  - Reason: Still mispredicting branches
- What's wrong? Caching or Branching?
  - Nehalem vs. Core2: Faster cache; deeper pipeline
    - L1 Hit: ~3-4 cycles; L2 Hit: ~15 cycles
    - Branch Mispredict: ~16-24 cycles
  - Bad branch predictions might be as undesirable as bad memory access patterns
  - Might be worth it to optimize mergesort's branching behavior

## Case Study: Sorting & Branching Getting rid of mergesort branching:

#### Demo: Profile Branchless Mergesort

- Must record before annotating.
- Annotate takes in function name to annotate around. msipwas one of the recursive merging functions that called the merge function.

## Doing Better (aka: GRR Stupid Compiler!)

```
int cmp = (*A <= *B);
                                                           (%r14), %rcx
0.15:
                            49 8b 0e
              400a71:
                                                    mov
10.95 :
                            49 8b 55 00
              400a74:
                                                           0x0(%r13), %rdx
                                                    mov
1.47:
              400a78:
                            31 f6
                                                           %esi, %esi
                                                    xor
                                                           %rcx,%rdx
0.01:
              400a7a:
                           48 39 ca
                                                    CMD
 5.51:
                           40 Of 9e c6
                                                           %sil
              400a7d:
                                                    setle
                long min = *B \wedge ((*B \wedge *A) & (-cmp));
                *C++ = min;
5.53:
                            48 31 ca
                                                           %rcx,%rdx
              400a81:
                                                    xor
1.71:
              400a84:
                            89 f0
                                                           %esi, %eax
                                                    mov
10.44:
              400a86:
                            f7 d8
                                                           %еах
                                                    neg
5.33 :
                            48 98
                                                    cltq
              400a88:
                                                    and
5.36:
              400a8a:
                            48 21 d0
                                                           %rdx,%rax
                A += cmp;
5.37 :
              400a8d:
                            48 63 d6
                                                    movslq %esi,%rdx
```

cltq: Sign-extend %eax to 64-bits, and place in %rax

### Doing Better (aka: GRR Stupid Compiler!)

# Demo: Profile Branchless Mergesort: Take 2: (int -> long)

```
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf record -f ./mergesort_branchless 30000000 1
Took 4.712254 seconds
[ perf record: Woken up 25 times to write data ]
[ perf record: Captured and wrote 3.102 MB perf.data (~135533 samples) ]
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf annotate -l msip
```

## Doing Better (aka: GRR Stupid Compiler!)

```
long cmp = (*A \leftarrow *B);
6.45 :
               40080a:
                             48 8b 75 00
                                                              0x0(%rbp),%rsi
                                                      mov
14.52:
               40080e:
                           49 8b 4d 00
                                                              0x0(%r13), %rcx
                                                      mov
2.07:
               400812:
                              31 d2
                                                              %edx,%edx
                                                       xor
0.01:
               400814:
                            48 39 f1
                                                              %rsi,%rcx
                                                       cmp
6.60:
               400817:
                          0f 9e c2
                                                       setle
                                                              %d1
                 long min = *B \land ((*B \land *A) \& (-cmp));
                 *C++ = min;
6.75 :
                              48 31 f1
               40081a:
                                                              %rsi,%rcx
                                                       xor
0.73:
               40081d:
                             48 89 d0
                                                              %rdx,%rax
                                                      mov
                 A += cmp;
 6.92:
               400820:
                              4d 8d 6c d5 00
                                                       lea
                                                              0x0(%r13,%rdx,8),%r13
```

**BEFORE**: 11 instructions **AFTER**: 8 instructions

### More Compiler Stupidity: Complicated Negations

```
Long cmp = (*A <= *B);
                 long min = *B \land ((*B \land *A) & (-cmp));
                 *C++ = min;
  3.09:
               400825:
                            48 f7 d8
                                                        %rax
                                                  neg
  3.92:
               400828: 48 21 c1
                                                        %rax, %rcx
                                                  and
  6.62 :
              40082b: 48 31 ce
                                                  xor
                                                        %rcx,%rsi
            40082e: 49 89 34 24
  6.70 :
                                                        %rsi,(%r12)
                                                  mov
                                                        $0x8,%r12
  6.95 :
              400832: 49 83 c4 08
                                                  add
               A += cmp;
                 B += !cmp;
                                                        $0x1, %rdx
  0.00:
              400836:
                          48 83 fa 01
                                                  cmp
  0.03:
              40083a: 48 19 c0
                                                        %rax, %rax
                                                  sbb
               na -= cmp;
  6.59:
                            49 29 d6
             40083d:
                                                        %rdx, %r14
                                                  sub
                 nb -= !cmp;
  0.00:
               400840:
                            48 83 f2 01
                                                        $0x1,%rdx
                                                  xor
0.09:
              400844:
                            83 e0 08
                                                           $0x8, %eax
                                                    and
6.31 :
                                                           %rax, %rbp
              40084a:
                            48 01 c5
                                                    add
```

**cmp:** Stores result to **CF** 

**sbb arg1, arg2**: arg2 = (arg1 - arg2) - CF

## More Compiler Stupidity: Complicated Negations

# Demo: Profile Branchless Mergesort: Take 3: (!cmp→ 1-cmp)

```
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf record -f ./mergesort_branchless 30000000 1
Took 4.712254 seconds
[ perf record: Woken up 25 times to write data ]
[ perf record: Captured and wrote 3.102 MB perf.data (~135533 samples) ]
methacholine:/scratch/profiling# perf annotate -l msip
```

## More Compiler Stupidity: Complicated Negations

```
long cmp = (*A <= *B);
7.40 :
               40080f:
                             48 8b 4d 00
                                                             0x0(%rbp),%rcx
                                                      mov
10.42 :
               400813:
                             49 8b 55 00
                                                             0x0(%r13),%rdx
                                                      mov
2.40:
                             31 f6
                                                             %esi, %esi
               400817:
                                                      xor
0.00:
                             48 39 ca
               400819:
                                                             %rcx,%rdx
                                                      CMD
7.14:
                             40 Of 9e c6
                                                             %sil
               40081c:
                                                      setle
                 long min = *B \land ((*B \land *A) \& (-cmp));
                 *C++ = min;
7.11
               400820:
                             48 31 ca
                                                             %rcx,%rdx
                                                      xor
0.79
                             48 89 f0
               400823:
                                                             %rsi,%rax
                                                      mov
                 A += cmp;
                 B += 1-cmp;
                 na -= cmp;
14.25
                             49 29 f6
               400826:
                                                      sub
                                                             %rsi,%r14
```

**%sil**: Lower byte of **%rsi** 

Final **mov** and **sub** have parallelism; fewer "pointless" registers Fewer ALU ops; Nehalem: only 3 of 6 execution ports have ALUs

### Results of Sort Optimizations

Name	Runtime (s)	InsnsPer Clock (IPC)	Branch Miss Rate
QuickSort	4.18	0.813	11.5%
MergeSort	5.04 (+20%)	1.105	10.3%
Branchless Mergesort	4.59 (-8%)	1.762	1.7%
Branchless Mergesort (int -> long)	4.05 (-11.7%)	1.740	1.8%
Branchless Mergesort (!cmp→ 1-cmp)	3.77 (-6.9%)	1.743	1.8%

Overall: **10.8**% Speedup over QuickSort; **33.6**% speedup over branching MergeSort

#### Conclusions

- Profile before you optimize
- Optimize iteratively:
  - Use profiling with intuition
- Look at the annotated assembly
  - Don't assume the compiler optimizes everything
  - Nudge the compiler in the right direction
- Learn through practice try these tools yourself (Project 2)

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

6.172 Performance Engineering of Software Systems Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.